```
1
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                     EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
                                Χ
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 23-CR-88(HG)
5
              Plaintiff ,
6
                                    United States Courthouse
           -against- :
7
                                    Brooklyn, New York
8
    CHI KWAN WONG,
                                    March 13, 2023
              Defendant. : 2:00 p.m.
9
10
                      - - - X
11
                    TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE
12
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE HECTOR GONZALEZ
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
    APPEARANCES:
15
    For the Government:
                                BREON PEACE
16
                                United States Attorney
                                BY: ANDREW ESTES,
Assistant United States Attorney
17
                                271 Cadman Plaza East
18
                                Brooklyn, New York
19
                                GARFUNKEL WILD, P.C.
    For the Defendant:
                                111 Great Neck Road, Suite 503
20
                                Great Neck, New York
                                BY: ROBERT A. DEL GIORNO, ESQ.
21
22
    Court Reporter:
                                Andronikh M. Barna
                                225 Cadman Plaza East
23
                                Brooklyn, New York
24
                                (718) 613-2178
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
    produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This is criminal cause for a status conference, Docket No. 23-CR-88, United States of America versus Wong.

Parties, please state your appearances for the record, starting with the government.

MR. ESTES: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Andrew Estes for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Estes.

MR. DEL GIORNO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Robert Del Giorno for defendant.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

All right. So, Mr. Estes, this is the first conference in front of me. If you could just tell me a little bit about what is going on in the case.

MR. ESTES: Yes. Your Honor.

Since the defendant was arrested on the indictment and released on bond on February 28th, counsel for the government and the defendant have been speaking about a proposed protective order as well as what some of the discovery will look like in this case. The government has prepared the first production of discovery. That should be going to defense counsel this week. We have a signed proposed protective order as well to send to the Court because almost all of the material in this case does involve sensitive personal information. It's a healthcare fraud case; it does

involve healthcare claims to Medicare and Medicaid plans, including information such as the patients' names, dates of birth, diagnoses, prescriptions, et cetera, as well as sensitive financial information as well. So that material will be sent to the defense. The first production is about 50,000 pages of materials, which includes bank records, claims data, materials obtained from search warrants, as well as search warrant affidavits, including materials involving messaging applications, so basically like text messages. Some of the materials also come with translations because a lot of information in this case does involve either statements, communications in foreign languages. So where the government has materials that are translated, we'll be providing that as well.

So that's generally where we are. As I said, we will be preparing those materials to produce later this week to defense. Once they've had an opportunity to look at those materials, as well as to discuss any other discovery issues, disputes or anything else, we expect to continue to have negotiations and discussions with Counsel to see if this also is a case that will resolve short of trial.

And after speaking with defense counsel as well as the Court's deputy, we would request another date to appear before the Court, I think February 27th.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: April.

MR. ESTES: Excuse me, April 27th. I'm looking at last month's calendar. Excuse me.

THE COURT: All right. And the discovery,

Mr. Estes, do you have a sense of what the total scope of
discovery in the case will be?

MR. ESTES: So this first production is sort of, is the bulk of materials relating to claims data, financial records, things like that.

We'll set out in our letter to defense counsel as well some other materials that were obtained and will be made available to defense to look at that were -- came from the search of the pharmacy that was conducted, to the extent that they want to review those materials as well.

There are also various video recordings, things of that nature, that are a large size, but we'll be providing those with reports as well to hopefully point the defense to what, if anything, may be of interest to them. I don't have an estimate of the particular size and quantity of those, although that's -- from the government's perspective, the recordings are not as critical to the defense in this case but that were obtained during the investigation.

THE COURT: And Mr. Estes, you and I have some experience together. Are there other investigations that might spill over into this case in terms of discovery or is it pretty self-contained?

MR. ESTES: So there may be parallel investigations and issues coming here. We will be discussing that with defense as well. Primarily though, the government's perspective is that its criminal investigation has one prosecution team and has been both collecting and maintaining information from that investigation and will be providing that to the defense. If there are any issues around that, we will address that with the Court as appropriate.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

All right. Mr. Del Giorno, anything from your side?

MR. DEL GIORNO: No, Your Honor. I agree with Mr. Estes. We've had all of those conversations and we are prepared to adjourn the case.

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Wong, have you understood everything that is going on today? Anything you need clarification from your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, no, I have no questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good then.

All right. Is there an application then under the Speedy Trial Act?

MR. ESTES: Yes, Your Honor.

The government would both request that this case be designated complex, in part because of the extent of the discovery, that this involves a years-long scheme for multiple millions of dollars of claims and claims data themselves I

6 believe take hundreds if not thousands of lines of claims that 1 2 are provided, as well as the fact that some of the materials 3 are in foreign languages as well. For those reasons, as well 4 as the government intends to continue to discuss with the defense whether there is possible resolution short of trial, 5 that in the interest of justice, that time be excluded until 6 7 the April conference. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Del Giorno, any objection to 9 exclusion under the Speedy Trial Act? 10 MR. DEL GIORNO: No objection. THE COURT: All right. So I am going to adjourn the 11 12 matter until April 27th at 11:00 a.m. in this courtroom. 13 And I am going to exclude time, the period from 14 today through April 27th of this year, I am going to exclude 15 in computing time under the Speedy Trial Act. 16 First I am going to -- I find that the case, given the nature of the discovery and the nature of the 17 18 investigation, is a complex case. Based on the Court's 19 experience with claims data and the privacy concern around 20 claims data, as well as the length and scope of the 21 investigation and the amount of data that is involved and that 22 will need to be produced, as well as the translations -- what 23 languages? 24 MR. ESTES: Cantonese, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: -- as well as the difficulty that often

arises as a result of the need for translations, I find that the case does fit the definition of a complex case and for that reason alone, Speedy Trial could be excluded.

But I also find that the ends of justice served by granting of the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel for the government and for the defense the reasonable time necessary to review and exchange discovery and for effective pretrial preparation as a result of the scope of that discovery.

And in light of the government's representations about commencing discussions about a possible resolution, I also find that the failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel for the parties the reasonable time necessary to engage in those plea negotiations.

So for those reasons, in addition to the finding of complexity, I am going to exclude time in the interest of justice from today through April 27th.

All right? Anything else we can take care of?

MR. ESTES: Just as far as the housekeeping portions of the proposed protective order, would the Court like us to file that on the docket or submit it? We have the original signed copy today. Whatever the Court prefers.

THE COURT: I do not have a preference, so you could either file it on the docket as an application and, assuming

```
8
    there are no issues, I will just sign it. Quite honestly,
1
 2
    whatever is easier and works for the parties, that is fine by
 3
    me.
 4
              MR. DEL GIORNO:
                                I've already explained it to my
    client, we both signed the document, so the Court is aware.
 5
                           Okay. So Mr. Estes, just file it on the
6
               THE COURT:
 7
    docket and I will turn that around as soon as possible.
8
              MR. ESTES:
                          Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
9
              THE COURT:
                           Okay. Anything else?
10
              MR. ESTES:
                           Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
                           From the defense?
11
              THE COURT:
12
                                Nothing further, Your Honor.
              MR. DEL GIORNO:
13
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen.
                                                              Have
14
    a good afternoon.
15
               (Matter concluded.)
16
17
18
19
    I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
    record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
20
21
        /s/ Andronikh M. Barna
                                            April 10, 2023
22
          ANDRONIKH M. BARNA
                                            DATE
23
24
25
```